arXiv:hep-th/0307076v3 7 Aug 2003

hep-th/0307076
TIT/HEP-502
July, 2003

Supersymmetric Gauge Theories on Noncommutative Superspace

Takeo Araki, Katsushi Ito and Akihisa Ohtsuka

Department of Physics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan

Abstract

We study four dimensional supersymmetric gauge theory on the noncommutative superspace, recently proposed by Seiberg. We construct the gauge-invariant action of $\mathcal{N}=1$ super Yang-Mills theory with chiral and antichiral superfields, which has $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry on the noncommutative superspace. We also construct the action of $\mathcal{N}=2$ super Yang-Mills theory. It is shown that this theory has only $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry.

The deformation of superspace[1, 2] has been attracted much attention recently. In particular, Ooguri and Vafa studied the C-deformation of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions and computed the coupling to the graviphoton superfield arising from the higher genus amplitudes in (topological) superstring theory [3]. They introduced the noncommutativity only in the Grassmann odd coordinates,which breaks spacetime supersymmetry explicitly. Recently, Seiberg[4] proposed another type of deformation which introduces noncommutativity both in Grassmann even and odd coordinates but imposes the commutativity in the chiral coordinates. This deformation is shown to keep the $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry and have some interesting properties in the field theoretical viewpoint. Some recent papers deal with subjects related to this noncommutative superspace[5].

In the paper[4], the deformation of four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory has been studied. In this paper, we study $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric gauge theory coupled with (anti-) chiral superfields on the noncommutative superspace. We construct the gauge invariant Lagrangian in which the product of fields are defined by the star-product. This theory has also $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry. We apply this formulation to the case of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, where the chiral superfield belongs to the adjoint representation. It is an interesting problem to examine whether the theory on the noncommutative superspace possesses further supersymmetry. We will show, however, that only the original $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry is preserved in this formulation.

We begin with introducing noncommutative superspace as in [4]. We follow the conventions of [6]. Let $(x^\mu, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ be the supercoordinates of superspace. Here $\mu = 0,1,2,3$ and $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1,2$. The Grassmann odd coordinates θ^α obey the anticommutation relations

$$\left\{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\right\} = C^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{1}$$

The product of functions of θ is Weyl ordered by using the star product, which is the fermionic version of the Moyal product:

$$f(\theta) * g(\theta) = f(\theta) \exp\left(-\frac{C^{\alpha\beta}}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\theta^\beta}\right) g(\theta). \tag{2}$$

We assume that the fermionic coordinates $\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ satisfy the ordinary (anti-)commutation relations

$$\left\{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\right\} = \left\{\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \theta^{\alpha}\right\} = \left[\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}, x^{\mu}\right] = 0. \tag{3}$$

We assume that the chiral coordinates

$$y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \tag{4}$$

are commutative, *i.e.*

$$[y^{\mu}, y^{\nu}] = [y^{\mu}, \theta^{\alpha}] = \left[y^{\mu}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right] = 0, \tag{5}$$

instead of requiring the commutativity of the spacetime coordinates x^{μ}. These relations imply that x^{μ} are noncommutative

$$[x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}C^{\mu\nu}, \quad [x^{\mu}, \theta^{\alpha}] = iC^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\mu}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$C^{\mu\nu} = C^{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\beta\gamma}(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma}. \tag{7}$$

On this noncommutative superspace, the supercovariant derivatives and the supercharges are defined by

$$D_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}} + 2i\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$Q_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + 2i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}, \tag{9}$$

respectively.

The chiral superfield satisfying $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$ is expressed in terms of component fields

$$\Phi(y, \theta) = A(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta\theta F(y). \tag{10}$$

While the antichiral superfield $\bar{\Phi}(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta})$ with $\bar{y}^{\mu} = y^{\mu} - 2i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ can be written in the Weyl ordered form:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta}) \;&=\; \bar{A}(\bar{y}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) - 2i\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}(\bar{y}) \\ &\quad + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(\bar{F}(\bar{y}) + i\sqrt{2}\theta\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) + \theta\theta\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\bar{A}(\bar{y})\right).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We next introduce the vector superfield V in the certain matrix representation of the gauge group. We take the basis t^a of the gauge group satisfying $\mathrm{tr}(t^a t^b) = k\delta^{ab}$ and

$[t^a, t^b] = it^{abc}t^c$. Define e^V by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}(V^n)_*$, where $(V^n)_*$ is the n-th power of V defined by using the star-product. e^V transforms as $e^V \to e^{V'} = e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}} * e^V * e^{i\Lambda}$, or infinitesimally

$$\delta e^V = -i\bar{\Lambda} * e^V + ie^V * \Lambda. \tag{12}$$

Here Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ are matrices of chiral and antichiral superfields respectively. The vector superfield V in the Wess-Zumino gauge is

$$\begin{aligned} V(y,\theta,\bar{\theta}) &= -\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu(y) + i\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(y) - i\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta^\alpha\left(\lambda_\alpha(y) + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}C^{\beta\gamma}\sigma^\mu_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\left\{\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\gamma}}, A_\mu\right\}(y)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(D(y) - i\partial_\mu A^\mu(y)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The C-deformed part in V is introduced such that the component fields transform canonically under the gauge transformation[4]: in terms of the component fields, (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu &= -2\partial_\mu\varphi + i[\varphi, A_\mu], \\ \delta\bar{\lambda} &= i[\varphi, \bar{\lambda}], \\ \delta\lambda &= i[\varphi, \lambda], \\ \delta D &= i[\varphi, D]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then the gauge transformation which preserves the gauge (13) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(y,\theta) &= -\varphi(y), \\ \bar{\Lambda}(\bar{y},\bar{\theta}) &= -\varphi(\bar{y}) - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}C^{\mu\nu}\{\partial_\mu\varphi, A_\nu\}(\bar{y}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The chiral and antichiral field strengths are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}\bar{D}e^{-V}D_\alpha e^V, \\ \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{4}DDe^V\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}e^{-V}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which are also defined by the star-product.

We now consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory with a chiral superfield Φ in the fundamental representation and an antichiral superfield $\bar{\Phi}$ in the antifundamental representation. Since the C-deformed part in (13) does not take value in the Lie algebra of the gauge group, we will consider the $U(N)$ gauge group for simplicity. Under the gauge transformation, Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ transform as

$$\Phi \to e^{-i\Lambda} * \Phi, \quad \bar{\Phi} \to \bar{\Phi} * e^{i\bar{\Lambda}}. \tag{17}$$

Their infinitesimal forms are

$$\delta\Phi = -i\Lambda * \Phi, \quad \delta\bar{\Phi} = i\bar{\Phi} * \bar{\Lambda}. \tag{18}$$

We express these transformations in terms of the component fields. For a chiral superfield Φ, the gauge transformation is the same as the commutative one:

$$\delta A(y) \;=\; i\varphi A(y), \quad \delta\psi(y) = i\varphi\psi(y), \quad \delta F(y) = i\varphi F(y). \tag{19}$$

For an antichiral superfield $\bar{\Phi}$, if we consider the usual component fields in eq.(11), the gauge transformation rule will be changed due to the C-dependent term in $\bar{\Lambda}$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\Phi}(\bar{y},\bar{\theta}) \;=\; & -i\bar{A}\varphi(\bar{y}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}(-i\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\varphi(\bar{y})) \\ & +\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(-i\bar{F}\varphi(\bar{y}) - 2iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{A}\partial_\nu\varphi(\bar{y}) + \frac{1}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}\{\partial_\mu\varphi, A_\nu\}(\bar{y})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where we have used the formula:

$$f(\bar{y}) * g(\bar{y}) \;=\; f(\bar{y}) \exp\left(2\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}C^{\mu\nu}\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\bar{y}^\mu}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial\bar{y}^\nu}\right) g(\bar{y}).$$

We note that the $\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}$-term in (20) is expressed as

$$-i\left(\bar{F} - iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(\bar{A}A_\nu) + \frac{1}{4}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}A_\mu A_\nu\right)\varphi + \delta\left(iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(\bar{A}A_\nu) - \frac{1}{4}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}A_\mu A_\nu\right). \tag{21}$$

Therefore if we define the antichiral superfield as

$$\bar{\Phi}(\bar{y},\bar{\theta}) = \bar{A}(\bar{y}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(\bar{F}(\bar{y}) + iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(\bar{A}A_\nu)(\bar{y}) - \frac{1}{4}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}A_\mu A_\nu(\bar{y})\right), \tag{22}$$

the component fields are shown to transform canonically:

$$\delta\bar{A}(y) = -i\bar{A}\varphi(y), \quad \delta\bar{\psi}(y) = -i\bar{\psi}\varphi(y), \quad \delta\bar{F}(y) = -i\bar{F}\varphi(y). \tag{23}$$

The gauge invariant Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^2\theta d^2\theta \bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi + \frac{1}{16kg^2}\left(\int d^2\theta \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha * W_\alpha + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \mathrm{tr} \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} * \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right), \tag{24}$$

which is not affected by the redefinition of $\bar{F}$. The F-terms have been computed in [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha|_{\theta\theta} &= \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha (C=0)|_{\theta\theta} - iC^{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr} F_{\mu\nu} \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{|C|^2}{4} \mathrm{tr}(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^2, \\ \mathrm{tr} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= \mathrm{tr} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} (C=0)\Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} - iC^{\mu\nu} \mathrm{tr} F_{\mu\nu} \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{|C|^2}{4} \mathrm{tr}(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^2 \\ &\quad + \text{total derivative.} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Here $|C|^2 = C^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha W_\alpha (C=0)|_{\theta\theta} &= \mathrm{tr}\left(-2i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \lambda - \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + D^2 + \frac{i}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right), \\ \mathrm{tr} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}} (C=0)\Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= \mathrm{tr}\left(-2i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \lambda - \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + D^2 - \frac{i}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \frac{i}{2}[A_\mu, A_\nu], \\ \mathcal{D}_\mu \lambda &= \partial_\mu \lambda + \frac{i}{2}[A_\mu, \lambda]. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The gauge invariance of the D-term is ensured by the transformation properties of the superfields (12) and (18). The D-term in the Wess-Zumino gauge can be computed by using

$$\begin{aligned} V^2 &= \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\theta\theta A_\mu A^\mu - \frac{1}{2} C^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu + \frac{i}{2}\theta_\alpha C^{\alpha\beta} \sigma^\mu_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} [A_\mu, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}] - \frac{1}{8}|C|^2 \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\right], \\ V^3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

From these formulas, $\bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi$ becomes

$$\bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi = \bar{\Phi} * (1 + V + \frac{1}{2} V^2) * \Phi. \tag{29}$$

The $\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}$ component of each term in the r.h.s. of (29) is given by

$$\bar{\Phi} * \Phi|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} = \bar{F}F + iC^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu(\bar{A}A_\nu)F - \frac{1}{4} C^{\mu\nu} \bar{A} A_\mu A_\nu F + i\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \psi^\alpha + \partial^2 \bar{A}A, \tag{30}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Phi} * V * \Phi|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= +i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\bar{A}\lambda\psi - i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\bar{\psi}\bar{\lambda}A + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}A_{\mu}\psi^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}(D - i\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu})A \\
&\quad -i\partial^{\mu}\bar{A}A_{\mu}A + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}C^{\beta\gamma}\sigma^{\mu}_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}\bar{A}\{\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\gamma}}, A_{\mu}\}\psi_{\beta} \\
&\quad -iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}A_{\nu}F + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}C^{\beta\gamma}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\gamma}, \qquad (31) \\
\bar{\Phi} * V^{2} * \Phi|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\bar{A}A_{\mu}A^{\mu}A - \frac{1}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}F + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}C^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{A}[A_{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}]\psi_{\beta} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{8}|C|^{2}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}F, \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

up to total derivatives. Thus we find [1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Phi} * e^{V} * \Phi\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} &= \bar{\Phi}e^{V}\Phi(C=0)\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}F_{\mu\nu}F - \frac{1}{16}|C|^{2}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}F - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{A})\sigma^{\mu}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\alpha}, \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\Phi}e^{V}\Phi(C=0)\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} = \bar{F}F - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi - \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{A}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}A + \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}DA + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{A}\lambda\psi - \bar{\psi}\bar{\lambda}A) \qquad (34)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi = \partial_{\mu}\psi + \frac{i}{2}A_{\mu}\psi, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mu}A = \partial_{\mu}A + \frac{i}{2}A_{\mu}A. \qquad (35)
$$

To summarize, the total Lagrangian (24) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{16kg^{2}}\mathrm{tr}\left(-4i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\lambda - F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + 2D^{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \bar{F}F - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi - \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{A}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}A + \frac{1}{2}\bar{A}DA + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{A}\lambda\psi - \bar{\psi}\bar{\lambda}A) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16kg^{2}}\mathrm{tr}\left(-2iC^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{|C|^{2}}{2}(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}F_{\mu\nu}F - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\beta} - \frac{|C|^{2}}{16}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}F. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

We next discuss the supersymmetry of the theory. We expect that the supercharge Q_{α} is conserved. In the case of $C = 0$, the supersymmetry transformation is given by

$$
\delta^{0}_{\xi}A = \sqrt{2}\xi\psi, \quad \delta^{0}_{\xi}\bar{A} = 0,
$$

---

[1] If we take the $\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}$ component of $\bar{\Phi}$ to be the same as eq.(11) instead of eq.(22), in eq.(33) $\frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}F_{\mu\nu}F$ is replaced by $-iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}A_{\nu}F - \frac{1}{4}\bar{A}C^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}F$. These terms seem to break the gauge invariance, but using the modified gauge transformation (20), the Lagrangian is shown to be gauge invariant.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^0_\xi \psi_\alpha &= \sqrt{2}\xi_\alpha F, \quad \delta^0_\xi \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{A}(\xi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}, \\
\delta^0_\xi F &= 0, \quad \delta^0_\xi \bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu \xi - i\bar{A}\xi\lambda, \\
\delta^0_\xi A_\mu &= -i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_\mu \xi, \\
\delta^0_\xi \lambda_\alpha &= i\xi_\alpha D + (\sigma^{\mu\nu}\xi)_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}, \quad \delta^0_\xi \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0, \\
\delta^0_\xi D &= -\xi\sigma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{\lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

We would like to find the C-dependent transformation

$$\delta_\xi = \delta^0_\xi + \delta^C_\xi \tag{38}$$

which leaves the Lagrangian invariant up to total derivatives. $\delta^C_\xi \lambda$ is obtained by acting Q_α on V

$$\delta^C_\xi \lambda_\alpha = \frac{i}{2}(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\xi)_\alpha C_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}. \tag{39}$$

This transformation leaves the C-deformed F-term invariant[4]. The C-dependent terms arising from the D-term in the Lagrangian is

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}F_{\mu\nu}F - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{A}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_\beta - \frac{|C|^2}{16}\bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}F. \tag{40}$$

The change of $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ under the transformation (37) is given by

$$\delta^0_\xi \Delta\mathcal{L} = -C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}F + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}C^{\mu\nu}(\sigma_{\mu\nu}\xi)^\alpha \bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\psi_\alpha - C^{\mu\nu}D_\mu \bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu \bar{\lambda}F. \tag{41}$$

The second term is cancelled by the term coming from $\delta^C_\xi \Delta\mathcal{L}$ as a consequence of eq.(39):

$$i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\bar{A}(\delta^C_\xi \lambda^\alpha)\psi_\alpha = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}C^{\mu\nu}(\sigma_{\mu\nu})^\alpha \bar{A}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\psi_\alpha. \tag{42}$$

The first and third terms can be removed by adding a C-dependent term [2] to the ordinary supersymmetry transformation of $\bar{F}$:

$$\delta^C_\xi \bar{F} = C^{\mu\nu}\left\{\partial_\mu \left(\bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu \bar{\lambda}\right) - \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu \bar{\lambda}\right)A_\mu\right\}. \tag{43}$$

Therefore, we have found $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry transformation by deforming the $\bar{F}$ transformation, under which the Lagrangian (36) is invariant:

$$\delta_\xi A = \sqrt{2}\xi\psi, \quad \delta_\xi \bar{A} = 0,$$

---

[2] If we adopt the usual definition of $\bar{F}$, the right hand side of (43) is replaced with $\frac{i}{4}C^{\mu\nu}\bar{A}\{A_\mu, \bar{\lambda}\sigma_\nu\}\xi$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\xi\psi_\alpha &= \sqrt{2}\xi_\alpha F, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\psi}_{\dot\alpha} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}(\xi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot\alpha}, \\ \delta_\xi F &= 0, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi - i\bar{A}\xi\lambda + C^{\mu\nu}\left\{\partial_\mu\left(\bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu\bar{\lambda}\right) - \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{A}\xi\sigma_\nu\bar{\lambda}\right)A_\mu\right\}, \\ \delta_\xi A_\mu &= -i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\xi, \\ \delta_\xi\lambda_\alpha &= i\xi_\alpha D + (\sigma^{\mu\nu}\xi)_\alpha\left(F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}C_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\right), \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha} = 0, \\ \delta_\xi D &= -\xi\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Another supersymmetry generated by $\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$ is broken explicitly as in [4].

So far we have considered the case that Φ belongs to the fundamental representation of the gauge group. When we consider the case that Φ belongs to the adjoint representation, we obtain $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric gauge theory. In this case, Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ transform as

$$\Phi \to e^{-i\Lambda} * \Phi * e^{i\Lambda}, \quad \bar{\Phi} \to e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}} * \bar{\Phi} * e^{i\bar{\Lambda}}, \tag{45}$$

where $\bar{\Phi}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi} &= \bar{A}(\bar{y}) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) \\ &\quad +\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\left(\bar{F}(\bar{y}) + iC^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\{\bar{A}, A_\nu\}(\bar{y}) - \frac{g}{2}C^{\mu\nu}[A_\mu, \{A_\nu, \bar{A}\}](\bar{y})\right),\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

so that $\bar{A}$, $\bar{\psi}$ and $\bar{F}$ transform canonically. The gauge invariant Lagrangian of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric theory is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{k}\int d^2\theta d^2\theta \mathrm{tr}\left(\bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi * e^{-V}\right) + \frac{1}{16kg^2}\left(\int d^2\theta \mathrm{tr} W^\alpha * W_\alpha + \int d^2\bar{\theta}\mathrm{tr}\overline{W}_{\dot\alpha} * \overline{W}^{\dot\alpha}\right). \tag{47}$$

The F-term is the same as $\mathcal{N}=1$ case, and the D-term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{tr}\left(\bar{\Phi} * e^V * \Phi * e^{-V}\right)\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\left[\bar{F}F - \frac{1}{2}[\bar{A}, A]D - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi - \mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}\mathcal{D}^\mu A + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\bar{\lambda}[A, \bar{\psi}] + [\bar{A}, \psi]\lambda\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \mathrm{tr}\left[\frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\{\bar{A}, F\} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\{\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha}\}\psi_\beta - \frac{|C|^2}{16}\{\bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}_{\dot\alpha}\}[\bar{\lambda}^{\dot\alpha}, F]\right],\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

up to total derivatives.

Rescaling V to $2gV$ and $C^{\alpha\beta}$ to $\frac{1}{2g}C^{\alpha\beta}$, the Lagrangian for $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory becomes $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(C=0) + \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2}_C$, where

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(C=0) = \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{tr}\Big(-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda + \frac{1}{2}D^2 - (\mathcal{D}^\mu\bar{A})\mathcal{D}_\mu A - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi + \bar{F}F$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\sqrt{2}g[\bar{A},\psi]\lambda + i\sqrt{2}g[A,\bar{\psi}]\bar{\lambda} + gD[A,\bar{A}]\Big), \qquad (49)\\ \mathcal{L}_C^{\mathcal{N}=2} &= \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{tr}\left(-\frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{8}|C|^2(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda})^2 + \frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\{\bar{A},F\}\right.\\ & \left. -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}\{\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A},(\sigma^\mu\bar{\lambda})_\alpha\}\psi_\beta - \frac{1}{16}|C|^2\{\bar{A},\bar{\lambda}\}[\bar{\lambda},F]\right). \qquad (50)\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(C=0)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi A &= \sqrt{2}\xi\psi, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{A} = \sqrt{2}\bar{\xi}\bar{\psi},\\ \delta_\xi\psi &= i\sqrt{2}\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}\mathcal{D}_\mu A + \sqrt{2}\xi F, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\psi} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}\xi\sigma^\mu + \sqrt{2}\bar{F}\bar{\xi},\\ \delta_\xi F &= i\sqrt{2}\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi - 2ig[A,\bar{\xi}\bar{\lambda}], \quad \delta_\xi\bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi - 2ig[\xi\lambda,\bar{A}],\\ \delta_\xi A_\mu &= -i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\xi + i\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\lambda,\\ \delta_\xi\lambda &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}\xi F_{\mu\nu} + i\xi D, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\lambda} = -\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\xi}D,\\ \delta_\xi D &= -\xi\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\lambda} - \mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}, \qquad (51)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\eta A &= \sqrt{2}\eta\lambda, \quad \delta_\eta\bar{A} = \sqrt{2}\bar{\eta}\bar{\lambda},\\ \delta_\eta\lambda &= i\sqrt{2}\sigma^\mu\bar{\eta}\mathcal{D}_\mu A + \sqrt{2}\eta F, \quad \delta_\eta\bar{\lambda} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}\eta\sigma^\mu + \sqrt{2}\bar{F}\bar{\eta},\\ \delta_\eta F &= i\sqrt{2}\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda - 2ig[A,\bar{\eta}\bar{\psi}], \quad \delta_\eta\bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\eta - 2ig[\eta\psi,\bar{A}],\\ \delta_\eta A_\mu &= i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\eta - i\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\psi,\\ \delta_\eta\psi &= -\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta F_{\mu\nu} - i\eta D, \quad \delta_\eta\bar{\psi} = \bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\eta}D,\\ \delta_\eta D &= \eta\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\psi} + \mathcal{D}_\mu\psi\sigma^\mu\bar{\eta}. \qquad (52)\end{aligned}$$

As in the $\mathcal{N}=1$ case, this theory has $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry, under which the component fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi A &= \sqrt{2}\xi\psi, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{A} = 0,\\ \delta_\xi\psi_\alpha &= \sqrt{2}\xi_\alpha F, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{A}(\xi\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}},\\ \delta_\xi F &= 0, \quad \delta_\xi\bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi + i[\xi\lambda,\bar{A}] + C^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\{\bar{A},\xi\sigma_\nu\bar{\lambda}\} + \frac{i}{2}[A_\mu,\{\bar{A},\xi\sigma_\nu\bar{\lambda}\}]\right),\\ \delta_\xi A_\mu &= -i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}_\mu\xi,\\ \delta_\xi\lambda_\alpha &= i\xi_\alpha D + (\sigma^{\mu\nu}\xi)_\alpha\left(F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}C_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}\right), \quad \delta_\xi\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0,\\ \delta_\xi D &= -\xi\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\lambda}. \qquad (53)\end{aligned}$$

The transformations associated with $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are not the symmetry of the model.

One may ask whether the other symmetry parameterized by η is preserved or not. Let us examine this symmetry in the case of $\mathcal{N}=2$ $U(1)$ gauge theory as an illustration. The Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{N}=2} &= -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}D^2 \\ & -\partial^{\mu}\bar{A}\partial_{\mu}A - i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi + \bar{F}F - \frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + iC^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\bar{A}F, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

and the transformation (52) for $\bar{\eta}=0$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^0_{\eta}A &= \sqrt{2}\eta\lambda, \quad \delta^0_{\eta}\bar{A} = 0, \\ \delta^0_{\eta}\lambda &= \sqrt{2}\eta F, \quad \delta^0_{\eta}\bar{\lambda} = -\sqrt{2}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}\eta\sigma^{\mu}, \\ \delta^0_{\eta}F &= 0, \quad \delta^0_{\eta}\bar{F} = -i\sqrt{2}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\eta \\ \delta^0_{\eta}A_{\mu} &= i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\eta, \\ \delta^0_{\eta}\psi &= -\sigma^{\mu\nu}\eta F_{\mu\nu}, \quad \delta^0_{\eta}\bar{\psi} = 0, \\ \delta^0_{\eta}D &= \eta\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

One may expect that this theory does not have any deformed η-symmetry, because the C-dependent term in the Lagrangian does not have the $SU(2)_R$ $\mathcal{R}$ symmetry (under which (ψ, λ) transform as the doublet). This is indeed the case as we will see below. The variation of the last term in (54) can be cancelled by adding a C-dependent term to the transformation of $\bar{F}$. The transformation of the remaining C-dependent term in the Lagrangian is

$$\delta^0_{\eta}(-\frac{i}{2}C^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}) = C^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}_{\nu}\eta\bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda} + \sqrt{2}iC^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\rho}\bar{A}\eta\sigma^{\rho}\bar{\lambda}. \tag{56}$$

These terms must be cancelled by adding C-dependent terms to the transformation (55). But it is shown that the second term cannot be cancelled by any C-dependent deformation. In the Lagrangian, only the terms $\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\lambda$, $\partial^{\mu}\bar{A}\partial_{\mu}A$ or $F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ could give the variation of the form $C^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}\eta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\lambda}$. In the first case, $\delta^C_{\eta}(\partial_{\mu}\lambda) \propto \eta C^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}$ should hold. But this means that $\delta^C_{\eta}\lambda$ should be an integration of $\eta C^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\mu}\bar{A}$, which cannot be a local transformation. Thus in this case, so far as the local transformations, it is impossible to construct a deformed η-transformation. The other two possibilities are also excluded with much the same argument. The above argument can be extended to the case of $U(N)$ gauge groups. Under the transformation parameterized by η, it is found that the variations of the C-deformed part of the Lagrangian contains the only one term proportional to D: $C^{\mu\nu}[\mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{A}, \bar{\lambda}]\bar{\sigma}_\nu \eta D$. The argument similar to the $U(1)$ case shows that this term cannot be cancelled by any C-deformation of the η-transformation so far as the local transformations.

We have constructed the gauge invariant Lagrangian for $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric gauge theory on the noncommutative superspace. We have shown that it is possible to construct the C-deformed supersymmetry transformation generated by Q_α. This means that the theory has $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry as in the case of [4]. Generalizing the argument, we have seen that $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory on the noncommutative superspace has only $\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2}$ supersymmetry. This may be seen from the fact that the C-deformed terms are not invariant under the $SU(2)_R$ $\mathcal{R}$ symmetry. In view of extended supersymmetry, it would be interesting to investigate the deformation of $\mathcal{N}=2$ rigid superspace[7]. If one may deform the superspace with $\mathcal{R}$ symmetry, it would be possible to construct the noncommutative supersymmetric gauge theory with extended supersymmetry.

It is interesting to study non-perturbative aspects of the C-deformed theory by studying solitons, instantons and monopoles etc. It would be also interesting to study whether such deformations are possible in three or two dimensions.

References

[1] J. H. Schwarz and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, "Speculations Concerning A Fermionic Substructure Of Space-Time," Lett. Nuovo Cim. **34**, 21 (1982);
D. Klemm, S. Penati and L. Tamassia, "Non(anti)commutative superspace," Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 2905 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0104190];
S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, "Some aspects of deformations of supersymmetric field theories," JHEP **0005**, 008 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0002084];
R. Abbaspur, "Generalized noncommutative supersymmetry from a new gauge symmetry," arXiv:hep-th/0206170;
H. Kawai, T. Kuroki and T. Morita, "Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory as large-N reduction," arXiv:hep-th/0303210;

M. Hatsuda, S. Iso and H. Umetsu, "Noncommutative superspace, supermatrix and lowest Landau level," arXiv:hep-th/0306251.

[2] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Non-commutative superspace from string theory," arXiv:hep-th/0302078.

[3] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "The C-deformation of gluino and non-planar diagrams," arXiv:hep-th/0302109; "Gravity induced C-deformation," arXiv:hep-th/0303063.

[4] N. Seiberg, "Noncommutative superspace, N = 1/2 supersymmetry, field theory and string theory," JHEP **0306**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305248].

[5] R. Britto, B. Feng and S. J. Rey, "Deformed superspace, N = 1/2 supersymmetry and (non)renormalization theorems," arXiv:hep-th/0306215;
S. Terashima and J. T. Yee, "Comments on noncommutative superspace," arXiv:hep-th/0306237;
S. Ferrara, M. A. Lledo and O. Macia, "Supersymmetry in noncommutative superspaces," arXiv:hep-th/0307039;
N. Berkovits and N. Seiberg, "Superstrings in graviphoton background and N = 1/2 + 3/2 supersymmetry," arXiv:hep-th/0306226.

[6] J. Wess and J. Bagger, "Supersymmetry and Supergravity," Princeton University Press, 1992.

[7] R. Grimm, M. Sohnius and J. Wess, "Extended Supersymmetry And Gauge Theories," Nucl. Phys. B **133**, 275 (1978).